UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,190	03/24/2004	Takeshi Yamamoto	57810-091	3715
	7590 07/08/201 , WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street,	, N.W.	TRINH, THANH TRUC		
Washington, Do	20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/807,190	YAMAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		THANTI-TRUC TRINIT		1795	
The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ars on the cover shee	t with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLA	ACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITI	ION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, bu application, applicant must timely file one of application in condition for allowance; (2) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compli- periods: 	f the following r Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendme eal (with appeal fee) in c	ent, affidavi compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths	from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing no event, however, will the statutory period for the statutory period for the statutory period of	for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS fro	m the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check e MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See) VVNEN INC	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN 1000
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expirat set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3 NOTICE OF APPEAL	the period of extended the second	ension and the correspond hortened statutory period f	ding amount for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply n AMENDMENTS					e appeal. Since a
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a f	inal rejection, b	out prior to the date of fi	ling a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would req	uire further cor	nsideration and/or searc			
(c) They are not deemed to place the appal; and/or		,,	naterially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. (See <u>Continuation Sheet</u>.			of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance wit			of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s). 			•	-	_
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed ameled how the new or amended claims would be restatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for the status of the claim(s). 	rejected is prov			l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3,4,6,10-13,15,16,21 a</u>	and 22.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u> </u>				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a fecause applicant failed to provide a showing was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.1	ing of good and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the entered because the affidavit or other evide showing a good and sufficient reasons why 	ence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections u	inder appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered.	An explanation	n of the status of the cla	ims after ei	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration habecause:	s been conside	ered but does NOT plac	e the applic	cation in condition for a	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.					
12.	Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Basia Ridley/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	5				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 1 contain limitation "said transparent conductive oxide film whose content of Sn is not more than 5 percent by wieght has an arithmetic mean deviation of the profile (Ra) of at least about 0.5 nm and not more than 2 nm, and has a surface with respect to which a contact angle of water is at least about 40 degrees and not more than about 74 degrees" that was not presented in the previous version of the claim.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument is directed to the amended limitation which is not entered for the reason above. .